

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 6 1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 1200 DALLAS, TX 75202-2733

June 28, 2012

We have the form the first of the principle of the first of

Mr. Ryan Benefield
Deputy Director
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

Dear Mr. Benefield:

Enclosed are the FY2012 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Midyear Review reports detailing the May 15, 2012, conference call held with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ). During this review, we discussed the state's progress during the first half of FY2012 (October 1, 2011, through March 31, 2012). This overall programmatic and grant review included ADEQ's progress in meeting the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) goals. The hazardous waste program activities, funded by the RCRA, Subtitle C, Section 3011 cooperative agreement, were also discussed, and those accomplishments are documented in the Midyear workplan matrix. Overall, we affirm the state's RCRA program is operating exceptionally well, and we salute your success in meeting the permitting and corrective action GPRA goals of the agency.

In the review of authorization status, by September 30, 2012, ADEQ will complete and forward a draft application for RCRA Cluster XXI to the EPA. The EPA has prepared a final Federal Register notice to authorize the state for RCRA Clusters XVI through XIX. EPA anticipates publishing the notice by June 29, 2012, once the state addresses the EPA Enforcement issues in the Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), which ADEQ is currently addressing. The Checklists in these rules are 210, 217, 218 and 220, which are portions of RCRA Clusters XVI, XVII, XVIII and XIX. The state has met its commitments in state authorization.

In the area of permitting and permit renewal, ADEQ is 100 percent permitted, and has no backlog of renewals. There are no specific numerical goals for GPRA permitting and renewals combined for the FY2011-FY2012 measurement period.

Arkansas is making excellent progress toward all GPRA Corrective Action (CA) goals for FY2011-FY2012 and beyond. Human exposure is 100 percent controlled (FY2020 goal is 95 percent). Groundwater is 90 percent controlled (FY2020 goal is 95 percent). Remedies Selected are at 87 percent (no goal). Construction Complete is at 71 percent (FY2020 goal is 95 percent).

At Midyear, no ready for reuse candidates were identified. In the second half of FY2012 the EPA will coordinate with ADEQ staff to verify land revitalization status (Ready for Anticipated Use, Status/Type of Use) for national reporting.

In the area of Program Management, ADEQ is consistently on time with Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) submittals. Several employees from the Hazardous Waste Division attended the RCRA All States Meeting held in Dallas in April. Additionally, ADEQ had several employees attend the EPA Inspector Workshop held in Dallas in May.

At Midyear, ADEQ has conducted inspections at 10 Treatment, Storage and Land Disposal facilities (TSDF) (includes 1 Federal Facility). ADEQ inspected 27 percent of the Operating TSDF Universe (from an April 16, 2012, RCRAInfo report), toward meeting the 50 percent annual coverage of Operating TSDFs. ADEQ conducted inspections at 13 Large Quantity Generators (LQGs). ADEQ inspected 10 percent of the LQG Universe (131), meeting the 10 percent alternative approach for Fiscal Year 2012. Overall, ADEQ conducted 56 inspections. There were 11 Significant Non-compliers (SNCs) identified during the period of October 1, 2011, through March 31, 2012, resulting in a 22 percent SNC identification rate for ADEQ. The number of sites in SNCs status, during this period is 20. Thirteen of the sites in SNC were addressed with formal enforcement, 12 of which were within the timelines outlined in the Enforcement Response Policy. The remaining facilities in SNC status were not due for enforcement at the time of this report. In addition, 56 informal enforcement actions were issued to secondary violators.

Three Enforcement Actions issued during this period were outside the timelines outlined in the ERP and appear to be appropriate based on the data in RCRAInfo. One hundred percent of final formal enforcement actions included a penalty, exceeding the national goal of 35 percent and the national average of 70.1 percent.

In a review of the FY2012 Midyear Oversight document, Joyce Stubblefield, Acting Section Chief for RCRA Corrective Action and Waste Minimization, reported that the EPA Region 6 would like all of its states to incorporate sustainability into their RCRA projects. Furthermore, Region 6 would like to engage its states and other stakeholders for thoughts on sustainability and applicable processes that could maximize social, environmental and economic benefits. A few examples of these programs are Environmental Justice analysis, risk assessment/risk management, green remediation, stormwater management, sustainable energy and environmental footprint analysis. Since these are voluntary programs, the state's participation is much appreciated and all state efforts will be viewed as enhancements to the overall RCRA program.

In conclusion, we appreciate the opportunity to work with your staff. We anticipate your continued success in planning and accomplishing future activities for the upcoming fiscal years

in order to meet our mutual goals in the RCRA program. If you have any questions, please call me at (214) 665-8022, or Terrie Wright, Arkansas Project Officer, at (214) 665-8453.

Sincerely yours,

Susan G. Spalding

Associate Director for RCRA

Multimedia Planning & Permitting Division

Enclosures

cc:

Ms. Tammie Hynum, Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

Ms. Penny Wilson, Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

Ms. Tamara Almand, Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

Mr. Richard Healey, Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

Mr. Tom Ezell, Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

Mr. Larry Ward, Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 6 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act State Hazardous Waste Program Oversight Process

State Agency Hazardous Waste Management Program

Prepared by the EPA Region 6 Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division

> Grant #D-00625112 Midyear FY 2012 10/01/2011 – 09/30/2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	11/4/1	RODUCTION
1.1	Purp	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Residence
1.2	Polic	y Statement
		State Hazardous Waste Program
2.0	OVI	ERSIGHT ACTIVITIES
	2.1	Section 1: Cooperative Agreement Process
		2.1.1 States' Reporting Requirements
		2.1.2 Monitoring and Measuring Cooperative Agreement Commitments
	2.2	Section 2: Authorization Process
		2.2.1 Hazardous Waste Combustion under RCRA and Clean Air Act
		2.2.2 Monitoring and Measuring Authorization Progress
	2.3	Section 3: Technical Assistance and Review Process
		2.3.1 Permit Oversight and Permit Review Program
		2.3.2 Monitoring and Measuring Permit Progress
		2.3.3 Monitoring and Measuring Corrective Action Progress
	2.4	Section 4: Data Management Process
		2.4.1 Monitoring and Measuring Data Management
	2.5	Section 5: Progress of Sustainability Programs

ATTACHMENT A: 6PD PROGRAM REVIEW CHECKLIST

1. INTRODUCTION

States that have been authorized under Section 3006 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, administer most of the hazardous waste programs under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) in Region 6. The state programs are administered in Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Texas. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), however, retains significant responsibilities with Congress for ensuring that the states are administering programs that comply with the federal RCRA statutes and regulations. This document outlines the Region 6 process for conducting oversight of the state RCRA programs. This is a "living document" that will be continually improved and updated according to the national Annual Commitment System goals and measures.

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to provide clear goals and an outline of measures to use in federal oversight of the RCRA program in Region 6 states. Overall, it provides a clarification of the EPA's current policy for state oversight and a venue for documenting EPA's oversight activities. This document also provides an update on a semiannual basis of state and EPA activities supporting the state RCRA program, highlights state accomplishments, and identifies areas where improvements are needed to achieve RCRA program goals.

1.2 Policy Statement

The EPA will oversee implementation of the authorized state program in order to ensure full execution of the requirements of RCRA and to promote national consistency in the implementation of the hazardous waste program. The EPA will conduct and document state oversight through mid- and end of year reviews as well as periodic permitting and corrective action program reviews. The EPA Region 6 will continue to work in partnership with the states to achieve results that support our common goal of protecting human health and the environment.

2.0 OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

The RCRA Hazardous Waste Program is made up of a number of components: permitting, corrective action, compliance assurance and enforcement, information management, and authorization. This document addresses permitting, corrective action, authorization, and information management through the Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division. The Compliance Assurance and Enforcement Division has an oversight process for their respective program, known as the State Review Framework. The RCRA Project Officers work closely with members of each program area to ensure effective implementation of the state-delegated program. The oversight and monitoring of state cooperative agreements (the type of assistance agreement used in the RCRA program) is an ongoing process that includes ensuring that all programmatic terms and conditions in the award agreement are satisfied.

The EPA's oversight activities are centered on four components: 1) the cooperative agreement process; 2) the authorization process; 3) the technical assistance and permit review process; and, 4) data management. Actions that enhance the overall effectiveness of the RCRA program through forward-moving national initiatives are captured in the Sustainability Programs Section. In addition, Region 6 RCRA program oversight is also accomplished by State Permitting Program Reviews and State Corrective Action Program reviews.

2.1 SECTION 1: COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT PROCESS

- Review of the state's application for Section 3011 funding, including a thorough review of the costs associated with the activities to be accomplished;
- Negotiation of a work plan that reflects both state and EPA goals and responsibilities for the authorized RCRA program;
- Approval of a Quality Assurance Project Plan and Quality Management Plan before work begins;
- Communication with the state, through monthly conference calls if appropriate, to identify problems and successes as early as possible; and,
- Formal review of the state's performance at mid and end-of-the-fiscal year, each followed by a report to the state.

2.1.1 States' Reporting Requirements

Thirty calendar days following the mid-point and the end-of-the-project period, the states will submit progress reports containing a summary of activities conducted and issues encountered during the project period. The evaluation reports will contain a comparison of actual accomplishments to the objectives established for the period. Where the output of the project can be quantified, a computation of the cost per unit of output may be required if that information will be useful. The reports will also contain reasons for slippage if established objectives were not met and additional pertinent information including, when appropriate, analysis and

explanation of cost overruns or high unit costs. The reports will include information about staff training.

The final End-of-Year progress report will be due 90 days after the expiration or termination of grant support. The final End-of-Year progress report should contain a self-evaluation of program activities, reflecting on the aspects of the program that were successful, and those that were unsuccessful. Each state must submit a final Financial Status Report no later than 90 calendar days after the end of the project period.

Events may occur between the scheduled performance reporting dates which have significant impact upon the grant or subgrant supported activity. In such cases, the grantee must inform the EPA as soon as the following types of conditions become known:

- Problems, delays, or adverse conditions which will materially impair the ability to
 meet the objective of the award. This disclosure must include a statement of the
 action taken, or contemplated, and any assistance needed to resolve the situation.
- Favorable developments which enable meeting time schedules and objectives sooner
 or at less cost than anticipated, or producing more beneficial results than originally
 planned.
- If the state's objectives or goals have changed, or if they foresee problems in meeting the end goals, the evaluation report must discuss the situation and provide a plan of action with an associated time frame for addressing the problem.
- Any proposed modifications to basic statutory or regulatory authority, program forms, procedures or priorities. The state shall submit a modified program description, Attorney General's Statement, MOA or other documents as the EPA determines to be necessary in accordance with 40 CFR 271.21(a).

2.1.2 Monitoring and Measuring Cooperative Agreement Commitments:

Monitoring commitments consists of tracking the state's progress with implementation of the RCRA program as well as conducting a joint analysis with each state. The joint analysis includes evaluating the project outputs, identifying success, identifying opportunities for enhancement, identifying appropriate solutions, and tracking progress of action items. Follow-up on these items is essential to monitoring progress.

The purposes for monitoring program progress are to:

- Identify project outputs, successes, and opportunities for enhancement;
- Provide recommendations and associated time frames for addressing opportunities for improvement;
- Identify action items and follow-up on previously identified action items;

- Follow-up and document the status of EPA recommendations; and,
- Provide a communication mechanism to management on the successes and opportunities for enhancement.

2.2 SECTION 2: AUTHORIZATION PROCESS

The workload for each Region 6 state's RCRA Program has increased steadily over time due to increased authorization of RCRA rules to the states. More than 322 rules have been promulgated under RCRA since the statute was signed into law in 1976. Consistent with the national policy that RCRA is designed to be implemented by the states, Region 6 states have sought and been authorized to implement most of the federal program, including the RCRA "base program" (authorized in 1984).

Some of the major rules, e.g., Corrective Action and Post Closure rules, are HSWA, therefore, under the amended Section 3006(g) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6926(g), new requirements and prohibition imposed by the HSWA take effect in authorized states at the same time as they take effect in non-authorized states. The EPA is directed to carry out those requirements and prohibitions in authorized states, including the issuance of full or partial permits, until the state is granted authorization to do so. While states must still adopt HSWA-related provisions as state law to retain final authorization, the HSWA applies in authorized states in the interim.

As a result of the HSWA, when final authorization is granted to a state, there will be a dual state/federal regulatory program. To the extent the authorized state program is unaffected by the HSWA, the state program will operate in lieu of the federal program. To the extent HSWA-related requirements are in effect, the EPA will administer and enforce these portions of the HSWA in a state until the state receives authorization to do so. Among other things, this will entail the issuance of federal RCRA permits for those areas in which the state is not yet authorized.

Once the state is authorized to implement a HSWA requirement or prohibition, the state program in that area will operate in lieu of the federal provision. Until that time the state may assist the EPA's implementation of the HSWA outlined in the state's MOA. If a state's requirements are more stringent than the federal requirements, HSWA provision will also remain in effect; thus regulated handlers must comply with any more stringent state requirements.

2.2.1 Hazardous Waste Combustion under RCRA and Clean Air Act

When the EPA promulgated MACT EEE regulations for hazardous waste combustion under the Clean Air Act (CAA), it envisioned integration of both RCRA and MACT requirements for emissions standards. The EPA does not anticipate a hazardous waste combustion unit to be regulated for compliance with emissions standards under both RCRA and CAA. For both incinerators and boilers, the RCRA emissions standards would not apply once an owner or operator of an existing hazardous waste unit has demonstrated compliance with MACT requirements under Part 63 Subpart EEE.

It was expected that once a state has received authorization for both RCRA (Cluster XVIII, Checklist 217) and MACT EEE under the CAA program, the RCRA permit conditions related to the emissions standards would be removed from the RCRA permit and transferred to the Title V operating permit under the CAA. Until then, however, the RCRA permit conditions that were based on the MACT EEE emissions standards will continue to be in effect in the RCRA permit. Even after the transfer of emissions related RCRA permit conditions to the air program, the RCRA program will continue to enforce all other RCRA permit conditions related to the waste management, financial assurance and closure requirements.

Until the state receives both above mentioned RCRA authorizations and MACT EEE delegation, the EPA will continue to remain the authority for approval of the MACT EEE comprehensive performance test (CPT) plans, alternative monitoring application (AMA) requests, methods modification requests for sampling and analyses, and issuing Finding of Compliance based on the test results. Even after the state has received such RCRA and MACT EEE authorization/delegation, the EPA will continue to be the authority for approval of: (a) Major Alternative Monitoring Applications requests, and (b) all Major, Intermediate and Minor requests for sampling and analytical methods.

	AR	OK	TX	LA
RCRA Authorization Cluster XVIII (NESHAP MACT EEE)	No	Yes	No	Yes
CLEAN AIR ACT – Title V Delegation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MACT (EEE) Delegation	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
MACT EEE CPT Plan Approval	EPA	Yes	EPA	Yes
Approval of Major AMA Requests	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA
Approval of Intermediate and Minor AMA	EPA	Yes	EPA	Yes
Approval of All Sampling and Analytical Method Modification Requests	EPA	Yes	EPA	Yes
Issuing Finding of Compliance	EPA	Yes	EPA	Yes

Note: New Mexico does not have any hazardous waste combustion unit.

2.2.2 Monitoring and Measuring Authorization Progress:

The states' regulations are being monitored by the Headquarters' State Authorization Tracking System (StaTS). StaTS is an information system designed to document the progress of each state and territory in establishing and maintaining RCRA-authorized hazardous waste management programs. StaTS tracks the status of each state with regard to changes made to the federal hazardous waste regulations. Authorization information is updated on a quarterly basis. Adoption information is updated twice a year, after the second and fourth quarters.

Another way that the EPA Region 6 meets its oversight responsibilities is through the codification process. This process provides a means for monitoring state authorized programs by ensuring that states remain in compliance with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 271. Specifically, as part of the codification process, the EPA:

- 1. Evaluates state statutory authorities and procedures to ensure that they remain consistent with 40 CFR 271.6, 271.7 and 271.8;
 - 2. Identifies state-initiated changes to the authorized program that have not been formally submitted to the EPA for review and approval in compliance with 40 CFR 271.21; and,
 - 3. Evaluates state hazardous waste compliance monitoring and enforcement requirements to ensure that they remain consistent with the requirements of 40 CFR 271.15 and 271.16.

2.3 SECTION 3: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND REVIEW PROCESS

Region 6 has historically provided technical assistance to states in a wide variety of program areas including program and information management, regulatory interpretations, technical assistance in areas such as ground water modeling, and other corrective action areas such as characterization of contamination, risk characterization and remedy selection/design.

2.3.1 Permit Oversight and Permit Review Program

As part of the EPA's oversight role, Region 6 will monitor the issuance of both draft and final permits along with significant permit modifications for technical and programmatic consistency.

The EPA routinely reviews draft and final permits that are received and will continue to do so. The criteria for selecting permits for or the annual oversight review will consist of: coordinating with each state on which applications would receive the most benefit from an oversight review (such as active community engagement, high profile and type of facility), the types of permits, and the availability of documentation. The Region will request all permit information required for the review from the state agency authorized to implement the RCRA program. If the state is not able to provide the needed information, then the Region will coordinate with the state to contact the facility directly to obtain the information. These reviews will allow the EPA to monitor the state's permitting program by reviewing permits for technical and programmatic consistency with the federal requirements. Some of the elements included in this review include the permitted units, basic permit requirements, financial assurance requirements, closure requirements, and schedules for corrective action completion.

The EPA will negotiate with each state on the number of permits to be reviewed in the state during the year.

As part of the permit program oversight, the Region has implemented the RCRA Permitting Program review. This review is rotated among the Region 6 states. During this review, the EPA gathers information on a variety of areas, such as staffing, the permitting process and program strengths. Other areas Region 6 will review include how the state implements public participation, financial assurance requirements, and the status of permitting actions for both new facilities and renewal permits. The Program Review is conducted during an on-site meeting with the state and a draft report will be provided for state review. The EPA's goal is to complete the review and finalize the report before the End of Year review.

2.3.2 Monitoring and Measuring Permit Progress

One of the EPA's goals is to have approved controls in place at permitting baseline facilities in order to prevent releases from RCRA hazardous waste management units. The agency's second goal is to update controls by reaching our permit renewal goal. These goals along with the Region 6 individual permit reviews and the Permitting Program Review, which include reviews for technical and programmatic consistency with the federal requirements, are how the EPA will measure the effectiveness of a state's permitting program.

2.3.3 Monitoring and Measuring Corrective Action Progress

To monitor a state's corrective action program, in addition to the corrective action tracking system for the attainment of the 2020 GPRA Corrective Action goals, the EPA will review remedy selection decision documents, i.e., Statement of Basis, Remedial Action Decision (RADD) documents, or a Basis of Decision document or Fact Sheet. At least one document per state will be reviewed each grant year, during or after, the remedy selection process, for technical and programmatic consistency with the federal requirements. Corrective action documents other than remedy decision documents may be substituted or added at the EPA's discretion. The criteria for selecting documents will consist of coordinating with each state on which documents will receive the most benefit from an oversight review (such as active community engagement, high profile and type of facility), and the availability of documentation. The Region will request all corrective action information required for the review from the state agency authorized to implement the RCRA program. If the state is not able to provide the needed information, then the Region will coordinate with the state to contact the facility directly to obtain the information.

In addition, as part of corrective action oversight, the Region has implemented the RCRA Corrective Action Program Review. This review is rotated among the Region 6 states. During this review Region 6 will gather information on staffing, corrective action process and corrective action status. In addition, the EPA will review the information with the states during a meeting. The review will be finalized before the End of Year grant review meeting or conference call.

2.4 SECTION 4: DATA MANAGEMENT PROCESS

The states must maintain the RCRAInfo database in order to provide a complete and accurate picture of all program accomplishments and to support RCRA program goals developed for GPRA. Reporting of the nationally required RCRAInfo core elements is required to properly evaluate and track RCRA program progress. A complete list of the nationally defined and required values for both Permit Event Codes and Corrective Action Event Codes may be found on the RCRAInfo website - https://rcrainfo.epa.gov/ - under the "Help" screens.

2.4.1 Monitoring and Measuring Data Management

Data management reviews are part of the midyear and end-of-year review process, as covered under the Cooperative Agreement process. Data and Program Profiles will be generated periodically throughout the year to properly analyze both the quality of the data and program accomplishments. Those Profiles and reviews will be made available as part of the regular program reviews.

2.5 SECTION 5: PROGRESS OF SUSTAINABILITY PROGRAMS

The EPA and the states work together to promote several national initiatives. The EPA Region 6 would like all of its states to incorporate sustainability into their RCRA projects. Furthermore, Region 6 would like to engage its states and other stakeholders for thoughts on sustainability and applicable processes that could maximize social, environmental and economic benefits. A few examples of these programs are Environmental Justice analysis, risk assessment/risk management, green remediation, stormwater management, sustainable energy and environmental footprint analysis. Since these are voluntary programs, a state's participation is much appreciated and all state efforts will be viewed as enhancements to the overall RCRA program.

Da	ate:
Susan Spalding Associate Division Director for RCRA Program Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division	ns
	Date:
Paul Sieminski, Chief State/Tribal Oversight Section Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division	
	Date:
Michelle Peace, Acting Chief Corrective Action/Waste Minimization Section Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division	
D Cathy Carter, Chief	Pate:
Strategic Planning/Information Management Section	
Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division	
D	eate:
Laurie King, Chief Federal Facilities Section Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division	rate.
	ate:
Kishor Fruitwala, Chief Facility Assessment Section Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division	

ATTACHMENT A 6PD RCRA PROGRAM REVIEW CHECKLIST

Midyear FY 2012

Date of Evaluation: May 2012

Program: RCRA Section 3011 Hazardous Waste Management Program

Delegated State: Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

Grant #: D-00625112

EPA Contacts: Program Manager: Susan Spalding

Grants/Project Officer: Terrie Wright

Technical Assistance Coordinator: Nancy Fagan

State Contacts: Tamara Almand, Tom Ezell, Tammie Hynum

$V_{M,C} = \Omega^{1/4}$							
PROGRAM REVIEW INDICATOR	STATUS	EPA COMMENTS & RECOMMENDATIONS					
	SECTION 1: COOPERATIVE AGREE	MENT PROCESS					
la) Annual grant commitments	On-going.	ADEQ will complete all work plan commitments by the end of the fiscal year.					
1b) Grant funds used appropriately.	ADEQ's draw downs of grant funds are being used appropriately.						
1c) Timeliness and completeness of QAPP and QMP	ADEQ's QMP and QAPPs are both valid at the time of the midyear and are submitted and approved within a timely manner.						
1d) Timeliness and completeness of reports	The End-Of-Year Report for FY11 was submitted in a timely manner. The Midyear Report is due on April 30, 2012.						
1e) Any changes that may impact implementation of RCRA program reported to the EPA in a timely manner	No changes have been made that may impact implementation of the RCRA program.						
1f) Staff training performed and reported to the EPA	ADEQ provided EPA comprehensive list of training provided to staff during grant year FY11.	The ADEQ staff received mini trainings in the following areas: Authorization (focusing on what is a clusters mean; Subtitle C, Financial Assurance and RCRA Fundamentals. A more in-depth training will be provided if still needed by ADEQ Staff.					

	SECTION 2: AUTHORIZATION	PROCESS
2a) State is authorized for current RCRA Rules Clusters	1. Standardized permit for RCRA Hazardous Waste Management Facilities, 2. NESHAP: Final Standards for Hazardous Waste Combustors (Phase I Final Replacement Standards and phase II) Amendments, 3. F019 Exemption for Wastewater Treatment Sludges from Auto Manufacturing Zinc Phosphating Processes and 4. Academic Laboratories Generator Standards.	The EPA has prepared a final Register notice to authorize the States for the listed rules. EPA anticipates publishing the notice by May 30, 2013 once the State addresses EPA Enforcement issues in the MOA. The Checklists in these rules are 210, 217, 218 and 220, which are portions of RCRA Clusters XVI, XVII XVIII, and XIX.

All the federal rules in RCRA Cluster XIX are Non-HSWA provisions. The rules are promulgated pursuant to Non-HSWA authority and are considered to be neither more nor less stringent than the current federal requirements. Therefore, there is no impact on the state's program. The State has submitted draft RCRA Cluster XX on Regarding RCRA Cluster XX, two rules are Non-HSWA March 20, 2012 which was due to EPA on provisions. However, OECD Requirements: Export September 30, 2012. The application is under Shipments of Lead-Acid Batteries is a mandatory rule; review if there are any regulatory review comments therefore, EPA implements this rule because it is not it will be forwarded to the State by April 30, 2012 delegable to states. Because of the federal government's to address EPA's concern. special role in matters of foreign policy, EPA does not authorize states to administer federal import/export functions 2b) Timeliness and in any section of the RCRA hazardous waste regulations. But completeness of authorization states are required to adopt the rule. packages The second Non-HSWA rule in RCRA Cluster XX which has some of HSWA provision is the Hazardous Waste Technical Corrections and Clarifications Rule. States are required to adopt the revisions to the manifest regulations (the addition of paragraph 262.23(f)) in accordance with the consistency requirements in 271.4(c). 40 CFR 262.23 is part of the manifest requirements. The remaining revisions are technical corrections with no impact. The state's adoption are necessary to make conforming changes to all appropriate parts of the RCRA hazardous waste regulations for new rules that have since been promulgated. Other than that, the rule is an optional provision.

2c) Meets authorization requirements	RCRA Cluster XXI	The next authorization package the state will submit will be RCRA Cluster XXI, due June 30, 2012, which has been included in the proposed rules dated March 23, 2012. The Rules in RCRA Cluster XXI are Removal of Saccharin and Its Salts from the Lists of Hazardous Constituents and Technical Corrections to Academic Laboratories Generator Standards. These two rules are Non-HSWA. The rules are promulgated pursuant to non-HSWA authority and are considered to be neither more nor less stringent than the current federal requirements. Therefore, there is no impact on the state's program. The state's modification deadline is July 1, 2012 (or July 1, 2013), if a state statutory change is necessary.
2d) Maintenance of legal authority necessary to carry out delegated program.	The State of Arkansas writes the federal RCRA regulations verbatim which are equivalent, consistent and some more stringent than the federal program.	There are no legal deficiencies with the state's statutes or regulations to carry out the hazardous waste management program. Once the State is authorized for the portions of RCRA Clusters XVI, XVII, XVIII and XIX there will be an indepth regulatory analysis of the State's statutes and regulations before the rules are codified into 40 CFR part 272. The in-depth review is needed to codify the state's program because some of the state's RCRA regulations and statutes overlap with the Clean Air Act, Superfund and the Clean Water Act. The state's regulations and statutes will be codified into 40 CFR part 272 by August 31, 2012, if there are no regulatory or statutory deficiencies.

STATE OF ARKANSAS

RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT (RCRA) - HAZARDOUS WASTE GRANT PROGRAM 2012 Midyear Report for D-00625112

(\$30,000 is allocated for Element #1)

PROGRAM ELEMENT #1 - AUTHORIZATION Objectives: The authorization of States for revisions to the RCRA Subtitle C program supports the Agency objectives of safe waste management and cleanup at hazardous waste sites. The State and EPA maintain a strong commitment to the authorization of State programs, the enhancement of the State and Federal relationship, and to ensure full adoption and authorization for RCRA rules, as soon as possible, and:

- 1. Promote the Express Authorization initiative so that it is widely used by States by providing timely implementation assistance to States.
- 2. Reduce barriers to authorization, thereby expediting the authorization process.

Performance Measure: Rules adopted and checklists submitted for authorization demonstrate the State's commitment to participation in the RCRA program. The ADEQ and EPA will view rule adoption and authorization as measures of success.

Activity A: EPA/State Authorization Coordination Activities

Task 1: During the year, EPA and ADEQ will actively participate in identifying problems and developing solutions and strategies for the authorization process.

Task 2: The ADEQ will support EPA's codification of ADEQ's authorized hazardous waste program.

Activity B: Maintain Equivalency to the Federal Program

Task 1: The ADEQ will maintain equivalency to the Federal program during ADEQ initiated program modifications - statutory, regulatory, and administrative.

Task 2: The ADEQ will notify EPA within sixty (60) days of any State legislation changes that could become a national concern or impact the State's authorized program. The State will submit to EPA regulatory changes and State-initiated program modifications - statutory, regulatory, and administrative.

Activity C: EPA Review of Authorization Applications

Task 1: By September 30, 2012, ADEQ will complete and forward a draft application for RCRA Cluster XXI to EPA.

Task 2: EPA shall review draft applications and provide a complete set of comments on the same to ADEQ within forty-five (45) days of receipt of the application.

Task 3: Within 45 days after receipt of EPA's comments on the draft application for RCRA Cluster XXI, ADEQ will prepare and submit a final application to EPA for authorization of these clusters.

Task 4: EPA shall initiate the necessary actions to publish the decision on a final application within forty-five (45) days of the receipt of all responses to comments and settlement of any associated issues for that application

EPA MIDYEAR COMMENTS:

RCRA Clusters XVI through XIX: 1. Standardized permit for RCRA Hazardous Waste Management Facilities, 2. NESHAP: Final Standards for Hazardous Waste Combustors (Phase I Final Replacement Standards and phase II) Amendments, 3. F019 Exemption for Wastewater Treatment Sludges from Auto Manufacturing Zinc Phosphating Processes and 4. Academic Laboratories Generator Standards. The EPA has prepared a final Register notice to authorize the States for the listed rules. EPA anticipates publishing the notice by June 29, 2012 once the State addresses EPA Enforcement issues in the MOA. The Checklists in these rules are 210, 217, 218 and 220, which are portions of RCRA Clusters XVI, XVII XVIII, and XIX.

Codification: EPA has codified the State for Clusters III through XV effective August 27, 2010.

The State has met its commitments in State authorization.

EPA is working with ADEQ to develop Full Authorization Training, ADEQ staff met with Ryan Benefield with regards to ADEQs MOA. ADEQ stated that they have reached an agreement on compromise language and will get a revised version of the MOA out in about a week.

PROGRAM ELEMENT #2 - PERMITTING & CLOSURE

(\$150,000 is allocated for Element #2)

Objectives: The strategic goals for permit and closure activities at hazardous waste facilities are:

- 1. Demonstrate substantial progress in permitting land disposal and combustion facilities as determined by the GPRA permitting list of these facilities.
- 2. Demonstrate substantial progress in reducing risks at inactive land disposal sites.

Grant funds are provided to the State of Arkansas and ADEQ for managing the RCRA program. Targets in the work plan are one means to measure that progress and are not the only means that the state may use to demonstrate appropriate management of the RCRA program. As the universe of available facilities from which to code targets shrinks, EPA's expectation of annual targets will also shrink.

	COMMITME	ENTS	
Activity	RCRAInfo Codes	2012 Targets	2012 Midyear Targets Met
Final Determinations or Permit Modifications Incorporating Unpermitted Units for Combustion Facilities (BIF, Incinerator or Miscellaneous Subpart X Units) [GPRA facilities only]	OP200/OP240 & OP270	1	
Final Determinations or Permit Modifications Incorporating Unpermitted Units for Land Disposal or Storage Treatment Facilities [GPRA facilities only]	OP200/OP240 & OP270	0	ADEQ will prepare and submar a final application to EPA for
Final Determinations or Permit Modifications Incorporating Unpermitted Units at Land Disposal Post-Closure Facilities [NON-GPRA facilities]	OP200/OP240 PC200/PC240 & PC270	0	r XXI to EPA.
Permit Renewals for Combustion Facilities, Land Disposal or Storage Treatment Facilities [GPRA facilities only]	OP200 & OP270	0	nyc rollidawnyc and administration
Permit Renewals for Combustion Facilities, Land Disposal or Storage Treatment Facilities or Land Disposal Post-Closure Facilities [NON-GPRA facilities]	PC200 & PC270	0	positivam recontamento regularizzo and administrativa soome à mittingi concera er impact de Sene's authorizza juniorae
Closure Plan Approvals/Certifications/Verifications	CL360/CL380 & PC380	1	Pine Bluff Arsenal (AR0213820707) – CL380
ADEQ will undertake activities, as outlined above, to change GPRA facilities from the category "not under control" to "under control".	No code	0	hig solutions and similagues for the sufficiency process
Other EPA and/or State RCRA Permitting Priorities	No code	0	as that at least equal the man hours committed to in this Program F

Footnote: ADEQ reserves the right to trade out Permitting activities listed, for other permitting activities that at least equal the man hours committed to in this Program Element. EPA and ADEQ will confer as needed via conference call to keep abreast of permitting issues.

EPA MIDYEAR COMMENTS:

ADEQ will have major modifications but not renewals. There are anticipating reaching CA550 on Lion Oil and CA400 on Aerojet with a RADD.

PROGRAM ELEMENT #3 - CORRECTIVE ACTION

(\$250,000 is allocated for Element #3)

Objective: The Corrective Action program has these overriding program goals:

- Focus program resources and actions at GPRA priority facilities.
- 2. Maximize actual environmental results.

Streamline and accelerate the pace of the program. 3.

RCRAInfo Codes	Corrective Action Activity	FY2012 Targets	FY2012 Midyear Targets Met
CA725	Human Exposures Controlled (total completed by End of Year)	0	Tingets ince
CA750	Groundwater Releases Controlled (total completed by End of Year)	0	to the private for site-yade 8 AU to a CA 73 y protective for banking
CA400	Entire Facility Remedy Selected/Corrective Measures Imposed (total completed by End of Year)	0	PRIVECKA (acita):
CA550	Entire Facility Remedy Completed or Construction Completed (total completed by End of Year)	1	
THE STATE OF	Other EPA and/or State Corrective Action Priorities	0	
CA350 CA375 CA400	Corrective Measures (CMS) Reports Approved Decision on Petition for No Further Action Remedy Selected/CM Imposed (Unit Level)	0	esting trafficial Distriction (1.0.0) site investigation and remediate testing
CA550 CA600 CA650 CA999	Remedy Completed or Construction Completed (Unit Level) Stabilization Measures Implemented Stabilization Measures Completed CA Terminated (Entire Facility)	o durernin	TODA:

Footnote: ADEQ reserves the right to trade out Corrective Action activities listed in "Other EPA and/or State Corrective Action Priorities" or in "Entire Facility Remedy Selected/Corrective Measures Imposed" for other Corrective Action activities that at least equal the man hours committed to in this Program Element.

Achievements/Projections for 31 Arkar	sas Facilities on the Region (GPRA 2020 Baseline	as related to the 20	20 GPRA Region 6 Goals
If it is district year, begonging a factor	EV00*	EVIII	TIVLES	

if a result age typogram specia	FY09*	FY11**	FY12***	FY11 Actual vs Grant	FY20 Goal
CA725 Human Exposures	30/31 (97%)	31/31 (97%)	31/31 (100%)	0/0	29 (95%)
CA750 Ground Water	26/31 (84%)	29/31 (90%)	29/31 (94%)	0/0	29 (95%)
CA400 Remedy Selection	25/31 (81%)	28/31 (87%)	28/31 (90%)	0/1	
CA550 Construction Complete	20/31 (65%)	23/31 (74%)	23/31 (74%)	0/0	29 (95%)

^{*} Actual number of total facilities on baseline achieving measurement as of 10/13/2009.

Ashionamanta/Dusination 5 21 A I

^{**} Actual number of total facilities on baseline Achieving measurement as of 09/30/2011.

^{***} Actual number of total facilities on baseline Achieving measurement as of 04/30/2012.

CORRECTIVE ACTION STREAMLINING AND RE-USE ACTIVITIES WITH ADEQ

- Objectives: The corrective action program is now driven by four new site-wide GPRA environmental indicators (EIs): the control of current human exposure (CA725), the control of the migration of contaminated ground water (CA750), remedy selected (CA400) and construction complete (CA550). EPA included the first two indicators as performance objectives for high priority RCRA facilities to be achieved by the end of FY 2005, and subsequently set a new goal to achieve 95% completion for CA 725 and CA550 corrective action goals by FY 2020. ADEQ has made great progress over the past several years in instituting corrective action streamlining concepts into their cleanup program.
- Activity A: Region 6 and ADEQ will continue to work together to further develop and implement practical, innovative, performance- and risk-based corrective action strategies to achieve both State and Federal cleanup goals and priorities. Streamlined approaches used during sampling, analysis, and document submittals will lead to smarter, faster work strategies resulting in long term protective remedies. Lessons learned from these approaches will be used to guide the way data is collected and analyzed for future site cleanup decisions in other state programs and regions.
- Activity B: ADEQ will continue to support the RCRA Brownfields and Ready for Re-use programs.
- Activity C: Region 6 and ADEQ will work together to implement the new land reuse measures and indicators pursuant to the EPA's February 21, 2007 "Guidance for Documenting and Reporting RCRA Subtitle C Corrective Action Land Revitalization Indicators and Performance Measures."
- Activity D: ADEQ will assist Region 6 in obtaining and verifying land reuse measures and indicators information for the 2008 and 2020 GPRA baseline facilities which is not available in the RCRAInfo database. Such information may include:
 - Cleanup status (e.g., CA 725, 750, 400, 550, 800, or 999) for site-wide or area specific determinations;
 - · Institutional controls and/or engineering controls in place;
 - Acres
 - · Types of use; and
 - · Status of use
- Activity E: ADEQ and EPA will continue to work cooperatively in reviewing Arkansas's identified Federally Utilized Defense (FUD) site investigation and remediation reports. Comments resulting from those reviews will be shared with each other.

EPA MIDYEAR COMMENTS: In the first half of the grant year, EPA and ADEQ worked together to refer Parker Solvents to Region 6 Enforcement and Cedar Chemical to Region 6 Superfund. In addition, Region 6 is providing ADEQ with technical assistance at AGI, a non-GPRA RCRA facility.

No Ready for Reuse candidate facilities were identified in the first half of the grant year. As of mid-year, no new RAU determinations had been rendered/entered into RCRAInfo. In the second half of the grant year, EPA will be working with ADEQ to identify and code facilities meeting the criteria for site-wide RAU (i.e. CA 725, protective for land use), focusing particularly on sites with historical CA 550 determinations. Region 6 will also be working with ADEQ to complete area-specific RAU determinations.

PROGRAM ELEMENT #4 - PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

(\$65,000 allocated for Element #4)

Objectives: The EPA will transmit all significant guidance documents to ADEQ with a cover letter clearly stating the purpose of the documents. Within forty-five (45) days after receipt, ADEQ will provide EPA a written response identifying any problems with guidance implementation. The EPA and ADEQ will arrive at a solution/decision on guidance implementation pursuant to the EPA/ADEQ Memorandum of Agreement (MOA).

Activity A: Quality Assurance

The ADEQ must submit an updated FY 2013 Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) 60 days prior to October 1, 2012. If there have been no changes to the QAPP from FY 2012, ADEQ must submit a new signature page and documentation stating that the QAPP is current.

Activity B: Training

The ADEQ will present the RCRA core curriculum courses or their equivalent as needed for new staff and ensure all ADEQ personnel are kept up-to-date in all new rules and regulations. Training for RCRA inspectors must be provided to ensure compliance with EPA Order 3500.1.

EPA MIDYEAR COMMENTS: ADEQ had several employees to attend the EPA Inspector Workshop that took place in EPAs Region 6 Office. The ADEQ staff are in need of the following training: Full Authorization, Full Financial Assurance and RCRA Fundamentals, Green Remediation, Ready for Anticipated Use (RAU) and McCoy Training.

PROGRAM ELEMENT #5 - INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

(\$60,000 allocated for Element #5)

Objective: The ADEQ must maintain RCRAInfo databases in order to provide a complete and accurate picture of program accomplishments. The data retrieved from RCRAInfo should be reliable in order to support RCRA program goals developed for the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA).

The reporting of national RCRAInfo core elements is necessary to review and track RCRA program progress toward GPRA goals.

Activity A: RCRAInfo

The ADEQ will enter all quality-assured RCRAInfo data into the EPA database by the seventh working day of each month. The ADEQ should review and improve the current RCRA universes to assure a nationally consistent information base.

Activity B: Institutional Control (IC) and Engineering Control (EC) Information

ADEQ will enter into RCRAInfo all institutional control (IC) and engineering control (EC) information necessary to adequately review and track RCRA program progress toward GPRA goals. The objective of collecting and tracking this information is to ensure that remedies implemented remain protective overtime. The RCRA Codes for ICs and ECs are CA770 and CA772. EPA Region 6 intends to collect and enter CA770 and CA772 information for facilities that currently have ICs or ECs to help address the backlog. ADEQ will enter new IC or EC information into RCRAInfo.

PROGRAM ELEMENT #6 - COMPLIANCE MONITORING

(\$350,000 allocated for Elements #6 and #7)

Objectives: Before the fiscal year begins, EPA and ADEQ will agree on the universe of facilities from which Compliance Evaluation Inspections (CEI), Comprehensive Ground-Water Monitoring Evaluation (GME) and Operation & Maintenance Inspections (OAM) will be selected. Should the inspection universe for ADEQ change during the year, adjustments will be made to which facilities are inspected but not to the number of inspections to be conducted as the universe is expected to fluctuate throughout the year.

Activity A: ADEQ Inspection Activities

Task 1: EPA shall adhere to the Program MOA and Enforcement MOU regarding federal inspection activities and notice to ADEQ.

Task 2: ADEQ will conduct required inspections at hazardous waste facilities. This includes, at a minimum, 50% of the TSDF universe, and 100% of the federal TSDF universe. Additionally, ADEQ will inspect 20% of the LQG universe (5 year goal of inspecting all active LQGs), unless ADEQ seeks approval of an alternative inspection plan in accordance with the National Program Managers' Guidance. The LQG universe will be determined based on the information in RCRAInfo no later than August 1 of each year.

ACTIVITY	2012 TARGET	Midyear TARGETS MET
Compliance Evaluation Inspections (CEIs)	20%	35
Comprehensive Ground-Water Monitoring Evaluation (GME)	1	1
Operation & Maintenance Inspections (O&M)	3	2
Focused Compliance Inspections (FCI)		16
Financial Record Review (FRR)		
Total Inspections at End of Year FY2009	4	54

In addition to the inspections noted in the above table, 2 Corrective Action Compliance inspections were conducted.

Screen-printed jackets and T-shirts will be procured and issued to all ADEQ RCRA Inspectors for visibility and safety during routine compliance monitoring inspections, special investigations, and emergency responses. These items will have both front and back identifying information, making the inspector easily recognizable to the public, regulated community, first responders, and other regulators.

EPA MIDYEAR COMMENTS: During the period of 10/1/2011 through 3/31/2012, ADEQ conducted inspections at 10 Treatment, Storage and Land Disposal (TSD) facilities (includes 1 Federal Facilities). ADEQ inspected 27% of the Operating TSDF Universe (from a April 16, 2012 RCRAInfo report), towards meeting the 50% annual coverage of Operating TSDFs. During the period of 10/1/2011 through 3/31/2012, ADEQ conducted inspections at 13 Large Quantity Generators (LQGs). ADEQ inspected 10% of the LQG Universe (LQG Universe 131) meeting the 10% alternative approach for Fiscal Year 2012.

PROGRAM ELEMENT #7 - ENFORCEMENT

(\$350,000 allocated for Elements #6 and #7)

Objective: Maintain a high rate of compliance in accordance with the EPA Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) by taking timely, visible, and appropriate enforcement action against violators.

EPA MIDYEAR COMMENTS: The National Goal for SNC identification is .9% of inspections should result in identifying SNCs. There were 11 SNCs identified during the period of 10/1/2011 through 3/31/2012, resulting in a 22% SNC identification rate for ADEQ.

The number of sites in SNCs status, during this period is 20 (based on a SRT pull on 4/16/12). 13 of the sites in SNC were addressed with formal enforcement, 12 of which were within the timelines outlined in the Enforcement Response Policy (ERP). The remaining facilities in SNC status were not due for enforcement at the time of this report.

Three Enforcement Actions issued during this period were outside the timelines outlined in the ERP and appear to be appropriate based on the data in RCRAInfo. 100% of final formal enforcement actions included a penalty, exceeding the National Goal of 35% and the National Average of 70.1%.

In addition, 56 informal Enforcement actions were issued to secondary violators.

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality RCRA FY2012 Midyear Review (10/1/2011 - 3/31/2012)

Data completeness, accuracy and timeliness (SRF Metric 1, 2 and 3):

ADEQ's data in RCRAInfo is complete, accurate and timely.

Inspection Coverage: Degree to which state completed the universe of planned inspections/compliance evaluations (SRF Metric 5):

TSDFs	Evaluation Count	Facility Count	Universe	% Covered *	State Evaluation Projection
Federal Facilities	2	1			
Private	14	9			
State Facilities	0	0	The state of the s	THE STATE OF THE S	
Total	16	10	11	27%**	6

Evaluation Count and Facility Count include all Evaluation Types conducted at any TSDF.

*(This column only calculates the % covered using CEI, GME's and OAM Evaluation Types, for purposes of meeting the National Program Guidance requirement of evaluating 50% of the "Operating" TSDF Universe (100% every 2 years), multiple evaluations of the same facility count as only one inspection.) ** Inspections conducted at 11 Operating TSDFs were used in the calculation of Universe coverage, multiple evaluations of the same facility were not included in this count: (3/11 = 27%).

During the period of 10/1/2011 through 3/31/2012, ADEQ conducted inspections at 10 Treatment, Storage and Land Disposal (TSD) facilities (includes 1 Federal Facilities). ADEQ inspected 27% of the Operating TSDF Universe (from a April 16, 2012 RCRAInfo report), towards meeting the 50% annual coverage of Operating TSDFs.

Enforcement Confidential April 16, 2012

Large Quantity Generators	Evaluation Count	Facility Count	Universe	% Covered *	State Projection
Federal Facilities	0	0		国际	
Private	13	13			
State Facilities	0	0			
Total	13	13	131	10%	14

Evaluation Count and Facility Count include all Evaluation Types conducted at any LQG, including multiple inspections at a single facility.

*(This column only calculates the % covered using CEI Evaluation Types, for purposes of meeting the National Program Guidance requirement of evaluating 20% of the LQG Universe annually (inspecting 100% of the LQG universe in 5 years), multiple evaluations of the same facility count as only one inspection.)

**13 CEIs at LQGs were used in the calculation of Universe coverage, multiple evaluations of the same facility were not included in this count:

(13/131= 10%). ADEQ has an approved alternative approach as outlined in the Compliance Monitoring Strategy for the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Subtitle C Program under the Straight Trade-off Approach". ADEQ will conduct inspections at 10% (14) of the LQG universe and will conduct the other 10% (14) at Small Quantity Generators.

During the period of 10/1/2011 through 3/31/2012, ADEQ conducted inspections at 13 Large Quantity Generators (LQGs). ADEQ inspected 10% of the LQG Universe (LQG Universe 131) meeting the 10% alternative approach for Fiscal Year 2012.

Other Facilities	Evaluation Count	Facility Count	Universe	% Covered	State Projection
Small Quantity Generators	15	15			
Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generators	1	1			Tr division
Not in Any Universe	11	ll			
Transporters	0	0			
Total	27	27			

Evaluation Count and Facility Count include all Evaluation Types conducted at Small Quantity Generators (SQGs), Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generators (CESQEG), Not in Any Universe and Transporters, including multiple inspections at a single facility.

*(There is no National Program Guidance coverage requirement for these types of facilities)

During the period of 10/1/2011 through 3/31/2012, ADEQ conducted inspections at 27 "Other" Facilities.

Types of Inspections	Evaluation Count
CAC — Corrective Action Compliance	2
CAV — Compliance Assistance Visit	0
CEI — Compliance Evaluation Inspection	35
FCI — Focused Compliance Inspection	16
FRR— Financial Records Review	0
FUI- Follow-Up Inspection	0
GME — Groundwater Monitoring Evaluation	
OAM — Operation and	
Maintenance	2
Total	56

Evaluation Count includes all Evaluation Types at all facilities including multiple inspections at a single facility.

Violation Identification Rate at sites with inspections: (SRF Metric 7)

Of the 50 facilities that were inspected during this period, 33 facilities were found to have violations, based on information from RCRAInfo, this is a 60% violation discovery rate. (Breakdown of Universe and violations found: Land Disposal Facilities = 1, Storage/Treatment Facilities = 1, LQG = 10, SQG = 12, CESQG = 1, Transporters = 0, Not Any Universe/Non-Notifiers = 8). Some facilities inspected are still under review for potential violations, thus depending on the outcome the violation rate discovered could increase once these reviews are complete.

Enforcement Confidential April 16, 2012

Enforcement:

Significant Non-Compliers (SRF Metric 8):

The National Goal for SNC identification is .9% of inspections should result in identifying SNCs. There were 11 SNCs identified during the period of 10/1/2011 through 3/31/2012, resulting in a 22% SNC identification rate for ADEQ.

The number of sites in SNCs status, during this period is 20 (based on a SRF pull on 4/16/12). 13 of the sites in SNC were addressed with formal enforcement (see table below), 12 of which were within the timelines outlined in the Enforcement Response Policy (ERP). The remaining facilities in SNC status were not due for enforcement at the time of this report.

SNC Addressed with Formal Enforcement:

SNC Addressed with Forms Facility Name	ID#	Evaluation Date	Enf. Type	#Days	Settled Penalty	Collected	SEP
Cameron Valve & Measure	ARD006352496	9/8/11	310	166	\$8,250		
Country Medical Service	ARR000022574	12/31/10	510	348			
Crisp Property	ARR000022350	5/23/11	210	205			
Crisp Property	ARR000022350	5/23/11	430	275			
Forty-Niner Metals Mgt	ARR000021766	3/18/11	510	248			
George Ivory	ARR000022442	6/29/11	210	138			
Great Lakes Chemical	ARD043195429	3/22/11	210	301			
Koppers Inc.	ARD006344824	4/25/11	310	270	\$3,750	\$3,750	
Melvin Ewart	ARR000014829	3/23/10	320	610			
Nancy & Scott Gammill	ARR000022236	4/20/11	310	320	\$1,000		
Pine Bluff Arsenal	AR0213820707	5/31/11	310	175	\$5,000	\$5,000	
Seaark Marine	ARD107287369	8/10/11	310	218	\$19,875		
University of Arkansas	ARD981158405	5/24/11	310	133	\$7,500	\$7,500	
William Works, LLC	ARR000019497	5/5/11	210	167		rdor: E10 = Initial C	

210 = Initial (3008) Compliance Order; 310 = Final 3008(a) Compliance Order; 320 = Final Imminent Hazard Order; 510 = Initial Civil Judicial Action for Compliance and/or Monetary Penalty

Secondary Violators (SVs)

Formal Enforcement Actions:

Facility Name	ID#	Evaluation Date	Enf. Type	#Days	Settled Penalty	Collected	SEP
None					renaity		

Informal Enforcement Actions:

Type of Action		
	Facilities	Number of Actions
Written Informal (RCRAInfo Code 120)	36	36
Letter of Intent to Initiate Enforcement (RCRAInfo Code 140)	13	30
Proposed CAO (RCRAInfo Code 149)	15	13
Total	7	7
100f the 140's and 7 of the 140's listed above were in the sale	56	56

10of the 140's and 7 of the 149's listed above were issued to SNCs.

Timely and Appropriate Enforcement (SRF Metric 10):

Three Enforcement Actions issued during this period were outside the timelines outlined in the ERP and appear to be appropriate based on the data in RCRAInfo. 100% of final formal enforcement actions included a penalty, exceeding the National Goal of 35% and the National Average of 70.1%.